Effect of nitrogen application methods and harvesting dates on yield and yield components of some flax cultivars

Taha Ahmed OMAR^{1*}

	Abstract
¹ Fiber Crops Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute, Giza, Egypt	Two field experiments were conducted during 2015/16 and 2016/17 seasons at Kafr El-Hamam Agricultural Research Station, Sharkia Governorate to study the effect of two methods of nitrogen application on yield and quality of three flax cultivars. In the 1 st method, all N was applied prior to the first irrigation and in the 2 nd method
[•] Corresponding author drtahaomar@yahoo.com	half N was applied prior to the first irrigation + ½ N dose prior to the second one. Application of 2 nd method and delaying harvest from 135 to 150 up to 165 days after sowing (DAS) were associated with the highest values of yield and quality. Giza 10 variety ranked first and significantly surpassed the two other cultivars (Belnika and Sakha 5) in terms of straw yield. However, Sakha 5 outyielded significantly the two tested flax cultivars regarding seed yield. For highest values of yield and quality, we
Received 03/09/2020 Accepted 15/09/2020	recommend planting Giza 10 and Sakha 5 flax cultivars with a split application of nitrogen and delaying harvest to up to 150 DAS.
	Keywords: Flax, cultivars, splitting nitrogen, harvest date

INTRODUCTION

Flax (*Linum usitatissimum*) is the most important dual purpose crop for oil and fiber production in Egypt and in the world. Therefore, more attention has been given lately to grow high yielding cultivars under more adapted agronomic practices for increasing not only seed yield but also quality traits of flax.

The applying of appropriate fertilizers at the suitable time and in the required quantities needed for the plant is one of the most essential elements that make the plant give a high output. Nitrogen fertilization splitting plays an important role in increasing the productivity and quality of flax as reported by Leilah et al., (1991), El-Hindi et al., (1992), Zedan (1994), Amany El-Refaie (1996). Harvesting dates were studied by many investigators who recorded the optimum stage of harvesting date gave the highest yields of straw and seed of flax as stated by El-Kady et al., (2010), Hussein (2012) and Amal El-Borhamy et al. (2015). Wider variability among flax cultivars for yield and yield components was reported by several investigators such as Hussein (2012), Mousa and Amal El- Borhamy (2015), Amal El-Borhamy (2016), Rashwan et al. (2016), Nawar et al. (2017), Sadi et al. (2017) and Emam (2019).

Therefore, the present investigation aims to study effect of nitrogen application methods on yield and quality of some dual flax cultivars under different harvesting dates and yield analyses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments sites and design

Two field experiments in split split plot design with four replications were conducted during 2015/16 and 2016/17 seasons at Kafr El-Hamam Agricultural Research station, Sharkia Governorate to study the effect of two methods of nitrogen application (1st method as all N dose prior to the first irrigation and 2nd one as $\frac{1}{2}$ N dose prior to the first irrigation + $\frac{1}{2}$ N dose prior to the second one) and three harvesting dates (135, 150 and 165 DAS) on yield and quality of three flax cultivars.

In each season, a split split plot design with four replications was used. Every experiment included 18 treatments which are the combination between two methods of nitrogen application (All N dose before the first irrigation, $\frac{1}{2}$ N dose before the first irrigation + $\frac{1}{2}$ N dose before the second one), three harvesting dates (135, 150 and 165 DAS) and three flax cultivars (Belnika, Giza 10 and Sakha 5). The two methods of nitrogen application were arranged in the main plots and the three harvesting dates were allocated in the sub plots however the three flax cultivars were assigned in the sub sub plots. The sub sub plot area (the experimental unit) was (2 m x 3 m) equal 6 m^2 . The pedigree of the three tested flax cultivars were as follows: Belnika, Fiber type imported from Holand, Giza 10: local variety, fiber type selected from cross between (1.420 x Bombay) and Sakha 5, local variety, oil type selected from a cross (1.370×1.2561) .

Some physical and chemical properties of a representative soil sample used in the experimental soil site (Table 1) were determined before preparation according to Jackson (1973).

Nitrogen fertilizer was applied at rate of 45 kg N/fed in the form of ammonium nitrate (33.5%N) according to the studied treatments. All other normal agronomic recommended practices of flax growing were followed.

At each studied harvesting time sample of ten guarded plants in each experimental unit in four replications were hand pulled carefully at random and left one week for complete air drying to determine yield components. However seed, straw and fiber yields/fed estimated from the central area of m² of each sub sub plots and then the yields of seed, straw and fiber yields/fed were calculated. The retting process was made in Fiber Crops Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute, ARC, Egypt.

Data recorded

Yield and yield components: as total plant length (cm), technical length (cm), upper branching zone length (cm), straw yield/plant (g), straw yield/fed. (ton), fiber yield/fed. (ton), number of apical branches/plant, number of capsules/plant, number of seeds/capsule, seed yield/plant and seed yield/fed (kg).

Quality characters: as long fiber percentage, fiber length (cm) and fiber fineness (N.m) as according to Radwan and Momtaz (1966).

Statistical analyses

Analyses of variance of split split plot design were performed in each season according to Snedecor and Cochran (1982). Differences among treatment means were judged with least significant difference (LSD) at 5% level of significance. Moreover, combined analyses of variance over the two seasons was undertaken after confirmed of error variance homogenous at both evaluated seasons for each character according to Le Clerg *et al.*, (1966).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield and yield components

Effect of nitrogen fertilization methods

Significant differences were detected for yield and its components at both seasons and their combined as affected by N application methods as seen in table 2.

Splitting nitrogen fertilizer into two equal portions ($\frac{1}{2}$ dose before 1st watering + $\frac{1}{2}$ dose before 2nd one) followed by significantly increased of total length/plant, technical length/plant, upper branching zone length, straw yield/ plant, straw yield/fed, fiber yield/fed, number of apical branches/plant, number of capsules/plant, number of seeds/capsule, seed yield/plant, seed yield/fed, in the same order compared with adding all amount of nitrogen in one dose before 1st watering, which recorded the lowest values for all yield and yield components traits at both seasons and their combined analyses. Similar results were recorded by Leilah *et al.*, (1991), El-Hindi *et al.*, (1992), Zedan (1994), Amany El-Refaie (1996).

Effect of harvesting dates

Significantly responded with delaying harvesting dates from 135 to 150 days after sowing (DAS) was detected for yield and its components at both seasons and their combined analyses as shown in table 2. On the other hand, delaying harvesting dates up to 165 (DAS) increased total length/plant, technical length/plant, upper branching zone length/plant, without significant difference between the medium and the late harvesting dates for total and technical length/plant treats in this respect. This might be attributed to an increase in metabolites synthesized by flax plants owing to prolonged growth period and this was more pronounced especially during the second pulling dates which in turn increased dry matter accumulation plant organs till it reached the full maturity stage (2nd harvesting date). After this period the decline in yield could be due to decline in moisture content of flax. In addition delayed harvesting exposed flax plants to over maturity

Table 1: Some physical and chemical properties of a representative soil samples in the experimental soil site before sowing (0-30 depth) in 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons

Soil analyses	2015/2016	2016/2017
Physical analyses		
Clay %	46.6	45.8
Silt %	30.2	30.1
Coarse sand %	3.67	3.42
Fine Sand %	19.5	20.7
Organic matter %	3.57	2.96
Ca CO ₃	3.49	4.25
EC (1:1 extract) (ds.m ⁻¹)	2.25	2.44
Texture class	Clay	Clay
Chemical analyses		
pH	8.35	8.59
Available N (ppm)	76.5	73.0
Available P (ppm)	22.2	21.3
Available k (ppm)	326.9	311.2

Fac. Agric. Lab , Zagazig Univ.

Table 2: Yield and yield components as affected by nitrogen application methods (M) and harvesting dates (HD) of flax cultivars (Cvs) and their interaction in

Characters Total Legitive Linear Linea			•																
and their staticstate staticstate staticS	Characters	Total le	ngth/pla	nt (cm)	Technic	al lengt (cm)	ı/plant	Upper l lengt	ranchin h plant (g zone cm)	Straw	yield/pla	nt (g)	Straw	yield/fed	l (ton)	Fiber	yield/fed	l(ton)
ication methoac(A) see before ¹ 1005 938 972 883 826 856 121 112 117 237 235 231 422 339 406 069 061 Findone 1013 1023 956 859 133 135 133 132 77 295 478 433 436 089 073 073 sever 1013 103 1032 956 889 923 135 139 139 137 237 295 023 023 007 008 074 003 sever 1013 103 103 1032 956 889 923 135 139 139 139 237 239 023 023 023 070 08 074 033 sever 1013 103 103 103 103 103 132 132 133 132 137 232 139 134 139 134 130 134 137 134 137 134 134 137 134 134 137 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 134	Main effects and their interaction	1 st sea- son			1 st sea- son	2 nd season		1 st sea- son			1 st sea- son	2 nd season	Comb.	1 st sea- son	2 nd sea- son		1 st sea- son	2 nd sea- son	Comb.
eeedoret* tiono005938972836826131117237235231433410606060616verbeforet* uono00111013105283683683513313	Nitrogen application met	hods (M																	
ebeforeticationality of the sector of the	M1: All N dose before 1 st irrigation		93.8	97.2	88.5	82.6	85.6	12.1	11.2	11.7	2.37	2.25	2.31	4.22	3.89	4.06	69.0	0.61	0.65
0003723444.1278322089073040072029023023004003004003101810813	M2: ½ N dose before 1 st irrigation + ½ N dose before 2 nd one	109.1	101.3	105.2	95.6	88.9	92.3	13.5	12.3	12.9	3.13	2.77	2.95	4.78	4.33	4.56	0.83	0.73	0.78
ates (HJ)sting at 13595.189.092.083.878.781.311.210.110.72.322.192.364.093.843.960.660.60sting at 136107.499.6103.594.187.470.813.312.212.83.022.792.904.784.324.550.840.73sting at 150107.499.6103.594.187.470.813.912.913.42.912.950.330.150.170.080.190.140.74sting at 16511.21104.2108.198.291.295.013.912.913.42.912.952.792.944.634.180.740.68sting at 16511.21104.2108.198.210.591.292.20.520.530.150.150.160.040.68sting at 16511.48106.5110710.0294.698.312.911.812.33.192.793.085.994.664.880.910.69stat 16511.4810.6511.0710.2094.698.312.911.812.33.192.792.792.740.770.69stat 16511.4810.6511.0710.200.3312.911.812.32.992.993.085.994.664.880.910.66stat 16511.812.3	LSD at 0.05	3.72	3.34	3.44	4.1	2.78	3.22	0.89	0.73	0.40	0.72	0.29	0.23	0.23	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.03	0.04
stingat1395.189.092.083.878.781.311.210.110.723.221.023.640.93.843.960.660.60stingat15107.499.6103.594.187.470.813.312.212.323.024.7344.329.60.640.66stingat16511.21104.2108.198.291.29	Harvesting dates (HD)																		
time at 10 0.74 9.06 103.5 9.41 8.74 70.8 13.2 12.2 12.9 12.9 14.5 14.5 14.5 0.84 0.75 time at 15 112.1 104.2 108.1 98.2 91.2 91.2 12.9 12.5 12.5 12.5 14.1 0.78 0.64 0.78 at 5% 112.1 104.2 108.1 98.2 91.2 0.50 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.27 4.63 4.16 4.41 0.78 0.64 at 5% 118 1.56 109 10.6 1.76 0.95 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 2.73 4.63 4.16 0.17 0.64 0.64 at 5% 118 105.6 109 106 98.5 100 98.5 100 98.5 100 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.16 0.16 at at 18 106.5 1107 1002 98.3 12.9 12.8 12.3 2.12 2.48 2.58 4.57 4.33 0.76 0.76 at at 18.8 106.5 1007 1020 98.3 12.9 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 2.92 <	HD1: harvesting at 135 DAS	95.1	89.0	92.0	83.8	78.7	81.3	11.2	10.1	10.7	2.32	2.19	2.26	4.09	3.84	3.96	0.66	09.0	0.63
time at 165112.1104.2108.198.291.295.013.912.913.42.912.552.734.634.184.410.780.68at 5%1.181.561.091.061.760.950.250.560.330.160.170.090.170.160.040.04at 5%1.181.561.091.061.061.061.061.061.071.070.230.560.330.160.170.080.040.040.04store1.18106.8100.698.01.0094.698.310.310.32.072.082.974.734.734.734.730.160.040.04store108.8100.5100.7100.698.799.078.019.310.32.072.932.944.564.880.910.08store114.8106.5110.7102.094.698.311.812.32.192.972.932.972.932.944.564.880.910.96akha593.087.790.378.274.094.813.814.32.392.902.243.843.463.650.910.930.95star1.871.871.871.871.831.930.290.210.130.170.140.140.130.140.14star1.871.871.83 <th>HD2: harvesting at 150 DAS</th> <td>107.4</td> <td>9.66</td> <td>103.5</td> <td>94.1</td> <td>87.4</td> <td>70.8</td> <td>13.3</td> <td>12.2</td> <td>12.8</td> <td>3.02</td> <td>2.79</td> <td>2.90</td> <td>4.78</td> <td>4.32</td> <td>4.55</td> <td>0.84</td> <td>0.73</td> <td>0.78</td>	HD2: harvesting at 150 DAS	107.4	9.66	103.5	94.1	87.4	70.8	13.3	12.2	12.8	3.02	2.79	2.90	4.78	4.32	4.55	0.84	0.73	0.78
at 5%1.181.561.091.061.760.950.220.560.330.160.170.080.170.160.040.04s(CVS)st(CVS)stenika106.898.5102.696.088.792.310.89.7610.32.672.482.584.574.214.390.770.69site initial106.8107.696.098.698.312.911.812.33.192.973.085.094.664.880.910.80site initial106.5110.7102.094.698.312.911.812.33.192.973.085.094.664.880.910.80site initial106.5110.7102.094.698.312.911.812.32.192.973.085.094.664.880.910.80site initial105.790.378.274.076.114.813.814.32.392.092.243.843.463.650.590.51akhb593.087.790.378.274.076.114.813.814.32.392.092.243.843.463.650.590.51akhb518.714.714.813.814.32.392.092.010.170.170.140.130.040.03storeNSNSNSNSNSNSNS	HD3: harvesting at 165 DAS	112.1	104.2	108.1	98.2	91.2	95.0	13.9	12.9	13.4	2.91	2.55	2.73	4.63	4.18	4.41	0.78	0.68	0.73
(CVS)(IO.S)98.5102.696.088.792.310.89.7612.32.192.672.482.584.574.214.390.770.69lizz106.898.51107102.094.698.312.911.812.33.192.973.085.094.664.880.910.80akha593.087.790.378.274.076.114.813.814.32.392.092.243.843.463.650.590.510.80akha593.087.790.378.274.076.114.813.814.32.392.092.243.843.464.864.890.910.80akh5%1.871.871.871.371.421.681.210.330.290.220.180.170.130.140.130.140.80akh68.79.08.79.08.79.08.79.08.79.170.170.170.130.190.910.80akh71.871.871.871.871.871.871.871.871.870.130.130.140.130.140.130.140.130.140.13A8.78.88.78.88.88.78.98.98.98.98.98.98.98.98.98.98.98.98.9	L.S.D at 5%	1.18	1.56	1.09	1.06	1.76	0.95	0.22	0.56	0.33	0.16	0.17	0.08	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.04	0.04	0.03
Idenika106.898.5102.696.088.792.310.89.7610.32.672.482.584.574.214.390.770.69iza 10114.8106.5110.7102.094.698.312.911.812.33.192.973085.094.664.880.910.80iza 10114.8106.5110.7102.094.698.312.911.812.33.192.973085.094.664.880.910.80akha593.087.790.378.274.076.114.813.814.32.392.092.243.843.463.650.910.80akh5%1.871.371.421.681.301.210.390.330.290.220.180.170.140.130.040.03akh7%1.871.871.371.421.681.301.210.390.330.290.220.180.170.140.130.040.03akh7%1.871.871.871.871.87N.8N.8N.8N.8N.80.910.800.910.69akh7%N.8N.8N.8N.8N.8N.8N.8N.8N.9N.9N.9N.9N.9N.9N.9N.9N.9N.9N.8N.8N.8N.8N.8N.8N.8N.8N.9N.9N.9N.9 </th <th>Flax cultivars (CVS)</th> <th></th>	Flax cultivars (CVS)																		
iza 10114.8106.5110.7102.094.698.312.911.812.33.192.973.085.094.664.880.910.80akha 593.087.790.378.274.076.114.813.814.32.392.092.243.843.450.590.510.51akha 593.087.790.378.274.076.114.813.814.32.392.092.243.843.463.650.590.51at 5%1.871.371.421.681.301.210.390.330.290.220.180.170.140.130.040.03At 5%1.871.871.421.681.301.210.390.330.290.220.180.170.140.130.040.03At 5%1.871.871.871.871.871.881.811.810.130.140.130.040.03At 6%NS	CV1 : Blenika	106.8	98.5	102.6	96.0	88.7	92.3	10.8	9.76	10.3	2.67	2.48	2.58	4.57	4.21	4.39	0.77	0.69	0.73
akha593.087.790.378.274.076.114.813.814.32.392.092.243.843.453.650.590.51tt 5%1.371.371.421.681.301.210.390.330.290.220.180.170.170.130.030.03HDNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSKDNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSKCVSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNSNS	CV2: Giza 10	114.8	106.5	110.7	102.0	94.6	98.3	12.9	11.8	12.3	3.19	2.97	3.08	5.09	4.66	4.88	0.91	0.80	0.86
If 5% 1.87 1.37 1.42 1.68 1.30 1.21 0.33 0.29 0.22 0.18 0.17 0.14 0.13 0.04 0.03 HD N.S	CV3: Sakha 5	93.0	87.7	90.3	78.2	74.0	76.1	14.8	13.8	14.3	2.39	2.09	2.24	3.84	3.46	3.65	0.59	0.51	0.55
HD N.S	LSD at 5%	1.87	1.37	1.42	1.68	1.30	1.21	0.39	0.33	0.29	0.22	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.13	0.04	0.03	0.03
N.S N.S <th>Interactions:</th> <th></th>	Interactions:																		
* * * * * N.S	MX HD	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	*	*	*	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
N.S N.S <th>MX CVS</th> <td>*</td> <td>*</td> <td>*</td> <td>*</td> <td>*</td> <td>*</td> <td>N.S</td>	MX CVS	*	*	*	*	*	*	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
N.S	MDX CVS	N.S	N.S	*	N.S	N.S	*	N.S	*	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
	MX HD X CVS	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S

Table 2 cont.

			j									ľ			
Characters	Number	Number of apical branches/ plant	ranches/	Number of		capsules/plant	Number	Number of seeds /capsule	apsule	Seed	Seed yield/plant (g)	(g)	Seed	Seed yield/fed (kg)	kg)
Main effects and their inter- action	1 st sea- son	2 nd sea- son	Comb.	1 st sea- son	2 nd sea- son	Comb.	1 st sea- son	2 nd sea- son	Comb.	1 st sea- son	2 nd season	Comb.	1 st sea- son	2 nd sea- son	Comb.
Methods of nitrogen application (M)	on (M)														
M1: All N dose before 1 st ir- rigation	8.77	8.05	8.41	21.2	19.8	20.5	8.38	7.91	8.14	0.74	0.69	0.71	505.1	463.3	484.2
M2: ½ N dose before 1 st ir- rigation + ½ N dose before 2 nd one	10.7	9.66	10.2	22.8	20.7	21.8	9.64	9.08	9.36	0.87	0.80	0.84	561.2	527.3	544.2
LSD at 0.05	1.33	0.53	0.93	1.18	0.32	0.74	0.85	0.46	0.64	0.11	0.09	0.12	47.3	42.2	57.9
Harvesting dates (HD)															
HD1: harvesting at 135 DAS	8.53	7.63	8.08	19.7	17.9	18.8	8.03	7.54	7.79	0.68	0.64	0.66	436.9	397.9	417.4
HD2: harvesting at 150 DAS	10.0	9.21	9.61	22.9	21.1	22.0	9.26	8.76	9.01	0.89	0.81	0.85	599.4	545.2	572.3
HD3: harvesting at 165 DAS	10.6	9.71	10.2	23.5	21.7	22.6	9.74	9.19	9.47	0.85	0.78	0.82	563.1	542.7	552.9
LSD at 5%	0.54	0.46	0.40	0.73	0.57	0.61	0.54	0.58	0.55	0.03	0.02	0.02	35.8	29.7	18.8
Flax cultivars Cvs.															
Cv1: Blenika	8.65	7.76	8.21	20.1	18.7	19.4	8.43	7.95	8.19	0.73	0.67	0.70	443.3	396.7	420.0
Cv 2: Giza 10	9.34	8.50	8.92	20.9	19.5	20.2	8.85	8.38	8.61	0.80	0.73	0.77	526.3	501.0	513.6
Cv 3: Sakha 5	11.2	10.3	10.7	25.0	22.6	23.8	9.76	9.17	9.46	0.89	0.83	0.86	629.8	588.1	609.0
LSD at 5%	0.29	0.24	0.17	0.37	0.43	0.34	0.19	0.17	0.14	0.03	0.02	0.02	31.4	20.0	24.7
Interactions															
MX HD	*	N.S	*	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	*	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
MX Cvs	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	*	*	*	*	*	N.S
MDX Cvs	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	*	N.S	N.S	*	N.S	*	*	*
MX HD X Cvs	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
* and N.S refer to significant at 5% level of probability and insignificant, respectively.	of probability i	ınd insignificar	ıt, respectively	×											

stage which often accompanied by decrease in dry matter content owing to be stored in seeds. In addition delay harvest exposed flax plants to over maturity which is often as companied by a loss of some plants organs (basal and apical branches and capsules). Confirmed results were recorded by El-Kady *et al.*, (2010), Hussein (2012) and Amal El-Borhamy *et al.*, (2015).

Effect of flax cultivars

Significant differences among three tested flax cultivars were observed with regard to yield and yield component traits at both seasons and their combined analyses as shown in table 2. Results of pooled data revealed that, Giza 10 exceeded Sakha 5 for total length/plant, technical length/plant, straw yield/plant, straw yield/fed, and fiber yield/fed. While, Sakha 5 out yielded Giza 10 for upper branching zone length, numbers of apical branches and capsules/plant, number of seeds/capsule, seed yield/ plant and seed yield/fed. Whereas, Blenika cv. recorded intermediate estimates for straw yield traits, however it recorded lowest seed yield traits. The differences between the three evaluated flax cultivars could mainly be attributed to the difference in their genetically constitution and their response to the environmental conditions. These results are in a good line with those reported by Hussein (2012), Mousa and Amal El-Borhamy (2015), Amal El-Borhamy (2016) Rashwan et al. (2016), Nawar et al. (2017), Sadi et al. (2017) and Emam (2019).

Effect of interaction

The interaction effect between N application methods and harvesting dates on straw yield/plant and number of apical branches / plant (Table 3) were significant effect higher value of straw yield / plant were obtained with N application methods with 150 DAS in the combined (3.30 g), and number of apical branches/plant gave higher value of (11.1 branch) were obtained with N application methods and 165 DAS. However, lowest value of them (2.09 g) and (7.53 branch) for straw yield/plant were and number of apical branches/plant, respectively.

The interaction effect between N application methods and flax cultivars on total length, technical and seed yield/plant (Table 4) were significant effect higher value of total length were obtained with N application methods with Giza 10 in the combined (107.2 cm) and technical length (101.1 cm), while seed yield /plant with Sakha 5 (0.91 g). However, lowest value of them (84.6 cm), (71.0 cm) and (0.64 g) for total length, technical length and seed yield/plant, respectively. The interaction effect between harvesting date and flax cultivars on total length, technical length and seed yield/plant (Table 5) were significant effect higher value of total length were obtained with harvesting dates 165 after sowing with Giza 10 in the combined (117.8 cm) and technical length (104.2 cm), while seed yield/plant with harvesting dates 150 after sowing with Sakha 5 (0.93 g). However, the lowest

Table 3: Interaction between methods of nitrogen application and harvesting dates on number of apical branches/plant and straw yield/plant

Methods of nitrogen application	Harvesting dates	Number of apical brands	Straw yield/plant
	135	7.53	2.09
All N dose before 1 st irrigation	150	8.52	2.51
	165	9.18	2.34
	135	8.64	2.43
¹ / ₂ N dose before 1 st irrigation + ¹ / ₂ N dose before 2 nd one	150	10.7	3.30
one	165	11.1	3.12
LSD at 0.05		0.57	0.12

Table 4: Interaction between methods of nitrogen application and flax cultivars on total length/plant, technical length and seed yield /plant

Methods of nitrogen application	Flax cultivars	Total length	Technical length	Seed yield/plant
	Blenika	99.8	90.1	0.64
All N dose before 1 st irrigation.	Giza 10	107.2	95.5	0.69
	Sakha 5	84.6	71.0	0.81
	Blenika	105.4	94.6	0.76
¹ / ₂ N dose before 1 st irrigation + ¹ / ₂ N dose before 2 nd one.	Gi3a 10	114.1	101.1	0.84
in dose before 2 offe.	Sakha 5	96.1	81.1	0.91
LSD at 0.05		2.01	1.72	0.02

Table 5: Interaction between harvesting dates and flax cultivars on total length, technical length and seed yield/plant

Harvesting dates	Flax cultivars	Total length	Technical length	Seed yield /plant
135 DAS	Blenika	94.3	85.5	0.58
	Giza 10	100.8	90.4	0.64
	Sakha 5	80.8	67.9	0.76
150DAS	Blenika	104.3	93.7	0.78
	Giza 10	113.3	100.3	0.85
	Sakha 5	92.9	78.2	0.93
165 DAS	Blenika	109.3	97.8	0.75
	Giza 10	117.8	104.2	0.81
	Sakha 5	97.3	82.1	0.89
LSD at 0.05		2.01	1.72	0.02

value of them (80.8 cm), (67.9 cm) and (0.58 g) for total length, technical length and seed yield/plant. Similar results were reported by El-Farouk *et al.* (1980), El-Hariri *et al.*, (1996), Hussein (2012) and Amal El-Borhamy *et al.*, (2015).

Quality characters

Effect of nitrogen application methods

Data presented in table 6 revealed that flax quality traits i.e. long fiber percentage, fiber length and fiber fineness were significantly affected by nitrogen application methods. Results of the two seasons and their combined as presented in table 6 indicated that splitting nitrogen fertilizer in two equal portions (1/2 dose before 1st watering + $\frac{1}{2}$ N dose before 2nd watering produced the highest values of quality traits as compared with adding nitrogen fertilizer fully in one before 1st watering.

The increase percentage of splitting nitrogen fertilizer in two equal doses than adding nitrogen fertilizer fully in one dose were for long fiber percentage, fiber length and fiber fineness traits as average of both seasons. This may be due to the addition of nitrogen in two doses may favorably influence accumulation of metabolites during the critical period of growth and development. These results are in agreement with those reported by Zedan (1994) and Amany El-Refaie (1996).

Effect of harvesting dates

Results presented in Table 6 revealed that flax quality traits i.e. long fiber percentage, fiber length and fiber fineness were increased significantly with delaying harvesting date from 135 to 150 DAS. As average of the two seasons, the second harvesting date (150 DAS) exceeded the first one (135 DAS) for long fiber percentage, fiber length and fiber fineness traits, respectively. The obtained results may be attributed to an increase in metabolites synthesis by flax plants owing to prolonged growth period and in turn the significant increase in quality traits.

Moreover, delayed harvesting date up to 165 DAS exposed flax plants over maturity which is often accompanied by a decrease in the moisture content inside flax plants. The increment in long fiber percentage towards maturity up to the second harvesting date (150 DAS) might be attributed to continuous precipitation of cellulose in the secondary walls of fiber cells. Whereas, fiber percentage after the second harvesting date was declined, this might be due to more lignifications which occurred late and this in turn increased retting losses resulting in lower fiber percentage. As for fiber length trait, results presented in table 6 indicated that fiber length, showed significant increase with delaying harvesting date up to 150 DAS, without significant different effect between the second and the third harvesting date for this trait as the

Table 6: Mean values of quality characters affected by methods of nitrogen application (M) and harvesting dates (HD) for flax cultivars (Cvs) in 2015/2016, 2016/2017 seasons and their combined analyses

Characters	Long fibe	er percenta	age (%)	Fib	er length (o	cm)	Fiber	r fineness (Nm)
Main effects and their inter- action	1 st season	2 nd sea- son	Comb.	1 st sea- son	2 nd sea- son	Comb.	1 st sea- son	2 nd sea- son	Comb.
Methods of nitrogen application	on (M)								
M1: All N dose before 1 st ir- rigation	16.1	15.5	15.8	82.1	79.3	80.7	247.5	240.5	244.0
M2: ½ N dose before 1 st ir- rigation + ½ N dose before 2 nd one	17.2	16.7	16.9	89.7	82.2	86.0	257.9	250.0	254.0
LSD at 0.05	0.26	0.98	0.59	1.07		3.85	5.99	7.30	6.64
Harvesting dates (HD)									
HD1: harvesting at 135 DAS	15.9	15.5	15.7	79.0	75.7	77.3	242.4	236.5	239.5
HD2: harvesting at 150 DAS	17.4	16.7	17.1	90.0	84.0	87.0	261.9	251.4	256.7
HD3: harvesting at 165 DAS	16.6	16.0	16.3	88.7	82.5	85.6	253.9	247.2	250.8
LSD at 0.05	0.35	0.27	0.29	1.30	1.91	0.94	1.94	2.45	1.99
Flax cultivars (Cvs)									
Cv1: Blenika	16.9	16.3	16.6	89.4	83.1	86.3	258.3	252.1	255.2
Cv 2: Giza 10	17.8	17.1	17.5	94.9	88.9	91.9	268.9	259.7	264.3
Cv 3: Sakha 5	15.3	14.8	15.0	73.4	70.1	71.8	231.0	223.8	227.4
LSD at 0.05	0.20	0.20	0.18	1.73	1.41	1.25	2.77	2.24	2.19
Interactions			-				-		
MX HD	N.S	N.S	N.S	*	N.S	N.S	*	N.S	N.S
MX Cvs	N.S	*	*	*	*	*	N.S	N.S	N.S
MDX Cvs	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	*	N.S	*
MX HD X Cvs	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S

* and N.S refer to significant at 5% level of probability and insignificant respectively.

average of the two seasons. Finally, fiber fineness trait, responded favorably to delay harvesting date Table (6). The maximum values of fiber fineness was achieved at the second harvesting date (150 DAS), this showed that the medium harvesting date could be recommended for high fiber fineness. The decline in fiber fineness with delaying harvesting date up to (165 DAS) is might be due to lignification which occurs in flax plants were left too long before harvesting. The results of fiber quality traits are in harmony with those obtained by El-Kady *et al.*, (2010) Hussein (2012) and Amal El-Borhamy *et al.*, (2015).

Effect of flax cultivars

Combined analyses of the two seasons for result given in Table (6) revealed that the differences among the tested flax cultivars reached the level of significant in flax quality traits i.e. long fiber percentage, fiber length and fiber fineness. Giza 10 ranked first and surpassed significantly the other flax cultivars and produced the highest values of fiber quality traits. However, Sakha 5 cv. gave the lowest values of these traits. The increments were between Giza 10 and Sakha 5 for long fiber percentage, fiber length and fiber fineness traits as average of the two seasons, respectively. On the other hand, Blenika recorded intermediate estimates for these traits. It could be concluded that fiber quality parameters depended mainly on varieties and this is mainly due to the genetic constituents and it is interaction with environmental conditions. Similar results were reported by Hussein (2012), Mousa and Amal El-Borhamy (2015) and Amal El-Borhamy (2016).

Effect of interaction

The interaction effect between N application methods and flax cultivars on long fiber % and fiber length (Table 7) were significant effect higher value of long fiber % and fiber length were obtained with N application methods with Giza 10 in the combined (18.1 %) and (94.1 cm), while lowest value of them (14.4 %) and (67.3 cm) with N application methods with Sakha 5, respectively.

Combined data in (Table 7) showed that significantly affected by the effect between N application methods and harvesting dates on fiber length, where highest value (89.2 cm) were obtained with N application methods and 150 DAS, however, lowest value (74.0 cm) with N application methods and 135 DAS.

The interaction effect between harvesting dates and flax cultivars on fiber fineness (Table 8) were significant effect higher value were obtained with harvesting date 150 DAS with Giza 10 in the combined (273.2 Nm), However lowest value (220.5 Nm) were obtained by harvesting date 135 DAS and Sakha 5.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Under the condition of the present study, it could be recommended to growing the new released flax cultivars Giza 10 and Sakha 5 by splitting nitrogen fertilizer in two equal doses (1/2 N dose before the first irrigation + $\frac{1}{2}$ N dose before the second one) and harvesting their plants at 150 days after sowing for maximizing their straw and seed productivity with best quality.

Table 7: Interaction between methods of nitrogen application and flax cultivars on long fiber percentage and fiber length

Methods of nitrogen application	Flax cultivars	Long fiber percentage	Fiber length (cm)
	Blenika	16.2	84.9
All N dose before 1 st irrigation	Giza 10	16.8	89.8
	Sakha 5	14.4	67.3
	Blenika	17.0	87.6
¹ / ₂ N dose before 1 st irrigation + ¹ / ₂ N dose before 2 nd one	Giza 10	18.1	94.1
	Sakha 5	15.6	76.2
LSD 5 %		0.26	1.76

Table 8: Interaction between methods of nitrogen application and flax cultivars on fiber fineness

Harvesting dates	Flax cultivars	Fiber fineness (N.m)
	Blenika	245.2
135 DAS	Giza 10	252.7
	Sakha 5	220.5
	Blenika	263.7
150DAS	Giza 10	273.2
	Sakha 5	233.0
	Blenika	256.7
165 DAS	Giza 10	267.0
	Sakha 5	228.7
L.S.D at 0.05		3.79

REFERENCES

Amal M.A. El-Barhamy (2016). Effect of seeding rates and nitrogen fertilized levels on yield and yield components of two flax cultivars. *J. Agric. Res. Kafr El- Sheikh Univ.*, 42: 217-229.

Amal M.A. El-Borhamy M.A.M. Abd El Daiem, A.A. Ahmed (2015). Effect of harvesting dates and retting methods on yield and quality of three flax genotypes (*Linum usitatisimum* L.). *J. Plant Production Mansoura Univ.*, 6: 1077-1088.

Amany El-Refaie, M.M. (1996). Fiber and oil yields of flax as affected by some cultural practices. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. Agric. Moshtoher, Zagazig University Egypt.

El-Farouk. T.A. Shalaby H.M. Mouwad, A. Momtaz (1980). The effects of various planting and harvesting times on the quantity and quality of flax production. *J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ*., 6: 77-87.

El-Hariri D.M., Amna H.H., El-Sweifty S. H., A. Mostafa (1996). Response of fiber yield and quality to cultivars, phytohormone levels and pulling dates. Workshop of the FAO network on Flax. Rouen, France.

El-Hindi M.H., A.T. El-Kassaby, A.A. Leilah, T. Abo-Zaied (1992). Response of flax to levels and time of nitrogen application under various planting dates. Proc. 5th Conf. Agron. Zagazig, 13-15 Sept., 1992, 2: 821-835.

El-kady E.A, Eman A.E., Salama S.A., A.A.E. Abd El-Fatah (2010). Effect of harvesting dates on the yield and quality of some flax genotypes. *Arab Universities Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 18: 283- 294.

Emam S.M. (2019). Cultivars response of flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) to different nitrogen sources in dry environment. *Egypt .J. Agron.*, 41: 119-131.

Hussein M.M.M. (2012). Evaluation of productivity and quality for some local and introduced flax (*Linum usitatisimum* L.) varieties under different pulling dates in sand soils. *Minufia J. Agric. Res.*, 37: 1171-1190.

Jackson M.L (1973). The Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of Indian, private New Delhi.

LeClerg E.L., Leonard W.H., Clark A.G. (1963). Field plot technique. *Soil Science*, 95: 290.

Leilah A. A., Salama, A. M., Ghonema M. H. (1991). Effect of time of nitrogen and some growth regulators on growth and yield of flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ.*, 16: 965-973.

Moussa M.A., El-Borhamy A.M. (2015). Response of two flax varieties to different N, P, K levels. *J. Agric. Res. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ.*, 41: 852-862.

Nawar A., Abou-Zied K., Khalil H.(2017). Impact to tillage intensity, NPK fertilization and weed control on seed yield and yield components of flax in newly reclaimed lands. *Egypt. J. Agron.*, 39:179-194. Radwan S.R., A. Momtaz (1966). The technological properties of flax fiber and methods of estimating them. *El-Felaha J.*, 46: 466-476 (In Arabic).

Rashwan E., Mousa A., El-Sabagh A., Barutcular C. (2016). Yield and quality traits of some flax cultivars as influenced by different irrigation intervals. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 8: 226-240.

Gökhan S.A.D.İ., Karabakan B., Aasim M. (2017). Biochemical characterization of four different genotypes of Flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) seeds. *Anatolian Journal of Botany*, 1: 12-17.

Snedecor G.W., W. G. Cochran (1982). Statistical Methods 7th Ed. The Iowa State Press. Ames Iowa, USA.

Zedan S.A. (1994). Studies on yields and yield components of some selected genotypes in flax. PhD Thesis, Fac. Agric. Al-Azhar Univ, Cairo.